

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 692 (2007) 3750-3757

www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

# Intramolecularly coordinated organotin(IV) sulphides and their reactivity to iodine

Libor Dostál<sup>a,\*</sup>, Roman Jambor<sup>a</sup>, Aleš Růžička<sup>a</sup>, Robert Jirásko<sup>b</sup>, Jan Taraba<sup>c</sup>, Jaroslav Holeček<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, nám. Čs. Legií 565, CZ – 532 10, Pardubice, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, nám. Čs. Legií 565, CZ – 532 10, Pardubice, Czech Republic

<sup>c</sup> Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University of Brno, Kotlářská 2, CZ – 611 37, Brno, Czech Republic

Received 19 February 2007; received in revised form 10 April 2007; accepted 15 May 2007 Available online 26 May 2007

### Abstract

Organotin(IV) sulphides (LSnPhS)<sub>2</sub> (3) and (LSnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S (4) containing O,C,O chelating ligand (L =  $2,6-(t \operatorname{BuOCH}_2)_2C_6H_3^-$ ) were prepared by the reaction of parent organotin chlorides LSnPhCl<sub>2</sub> (1) and LSnPh<sub>2</sub>Cl (2) with Na<sub>2</sub>S · 9H<sub>2</sub>O in toluene/water. Both sulphides were characterized by the help of elemental analysis, ESI-mass spectrometry, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy and the molecular structure of **3** was determined by X-ray diffraction techniques. Compounds **3** and **4** react with I<sub>2</sub> to organotin iodides LSnPhI<sub>2</sub> (**5**) and LSnPh<sub>2</sub>I (**6**), instead of intended iodolysis of phenyl groups. Triorganotin iodide **6** reacts with the additional molecule of I<sub>2</sub> forming an ionic organotin compound [LSnPh<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> I<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (7), which is unstable in solution and decomposes to Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub> and 2,6-(*t*BuOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>I (**8**).

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Tin; Sulphide; Chelating ligand; NMR spectroscopy; X-ray structure

### 1. Introduction

Organotin(IV) sulphides have been extensively studied over the last two decades and a variety of interesting structures depending on the organic groups bonded to the central tin atom both in the solid state and in solution have been observed. The diorganotin compounds were shown to form cyclic trimers (Me<sub>2</sub>SnS)<sub>3</sub> and (Ph<sub>2</sub>SnS)<sub>3</sub> [1] or a polymer (*i*Pr<sub>2</sub>SnS)<sub>n</sub> [2]. Dimeric structures with central Sn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> core can be stabilized by bulky ligands (*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>SnS)<sub>2</sub> [3] or [(2,4,6-*i*Pr<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SnS]<sub>2</sub> [4]. Tokitoh et al. reported on utilization of sterically crowded aryls 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl (Tip) and 2,4,6-tris[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl]phenyl (Tb) for formation of unusual (Tb)(Tip)SnE<sub>4</sub> rings (E = S, Se) [5]. Adamantane-like structures have been detected in diorganotin sulphides in which two tin atoms are bridged by a single methylene carbon atom [6] or propylene bridge [7]. Recently, Dakternieks et al. also reported on anionic diorganotin sulphides of the type  $[S(SnR_2Cl)_2Cl]^-$  [8]. Monoorganotin sulphides can be usually encountered as  $(RSn)_4E_6$  compounds with adamantane-like structure [9]. On the other hand, triorganotin derivatives form simple sulphur bridged structures  $R_3SnSSnR_3$  with various Sn–S–Sn bond angles depending on groups R [10].

One of the possibilities of stable central  $Sn_2S_2$  ring formation is using of chelating ligands [11]. The O,C,O-coordinating pincer-type ligand (2,6-[(EtO)<sub>2</sub>(O)P]<sub>2</sub>-4-*t*BuC<sub>6</sub> H<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) was used for stabilization of a rare example of organotin sulfide containing terminal Sn-Cl bond 2,6-[(EtO)<sub>2</sub>(O)P]<sub>2</sub>-4-*t*BuC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>Sn(S)Cl [12]. Organotin sulphides were also used as ligands in transition metals coordination sphere [13].

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +420 466037163; fax: +420 466037068. *E-mail address:* libor.dostal@upce.cz (L. Dostál).

<sup>0022-328</sup>X/\$ - see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2007.05.025

Here, we report on the reaction of intramolecularly coordinated organotin chlorides LSnPhCl<sub>2</sub> (1) and LSnPh<sub>2</sub>Cl (2) containing another type of O,C,O – coordinating pincer-type ligand L (L = 2,6-( $tBuOCH_2$ )<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) with Na<sub>2</sub>S · 9H<sub>2</sub>O yielding organotin sulphides (LSnPhS)<sub>2</sub> (3) and (LSnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S (4). Investigation on the reactions of the resulting sulphides **3** and **4** with molecular iodine is also included. All derivatives were characterized by elemental analysis, ESI-MS, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy.

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General remarks

<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AMX360 and Bruker500 Avance spectrometers respectively, using 5 mm tuneable broad-band probes. ppropriate chemical shifts in <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra were calibrated on the residual signals of the solvent (CDCl<sub>3</sub>:  $\delta(^{1}\text{H}) = 7.27 \text{ ppm}$  and  $\delta(^{13}\text{C}) = 77.23 \text{ ppm})$  or external  $Me_4Sn (\delta (^{119}Sn) = 0.0 \text{ ppm})$ . Positive-ion electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were measured on an ion trap analyzer Esquire 3000 (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) in the range m/z 50–1500. The samples were dissolved in acetonitrile and analyzed by direct infusion at the flow rate 5 µl/min. The selected precursor ions were further analyzed by MS/MS analyses under the following conditions: the isolation width m/z = 8, the collision amplitude in the range 0.8-1.0 V depending on the precursor ion stability, the ion source temperature 300 °C, the tuning parameter compound stability 100%, the flow rate and the pressure of nitrogen 4 l/min and 10 psi, respectively.

### 2.2. X-ray structure determination

The X-ray data for single crystals of **3**, **5** and **6** were obtained at 150 K using Oxford Cryostream low-temperature device on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer with Mo K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å), a graphite monochromator, and the  $\phi$  and  $\omega$  scan mode. The absorption corrections were made [14] by integration or multi-scan [15] methods. Data reductions were performed with DENZO-SMN [16]. Structures were solved by direct methods (Sir92) [17] and refined by full matrix least-square based on  $F^2$  (SHELXL97) [18]. All hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and refined on their parent carbon atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å and U<sub>iso</sub>(H) = 1.2 U<sub>eq</sub>(C) H atoms, and C-H = 0.96 Å and U<sub>iso</sub>(H) = 1.5 U<sub>eq</sub>(C) for methyl hydrogen atoms.

# 2.3. Synthesis of $[2,6-(tBuOCH_2)_2C_6H_3SnPhS]_2$ (3)

 $Na_2S \cdot 9H_2O$  (0.4 g, 1.7 mmol) in 30 ml of water was added to a solution of 2,6-(*t*BuOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>SnPhCl<sub>2</sub> (0.85 g, 1.64 mmol) in toluene (30 ml) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Then the toluene fraction was separated and water layer was washed twice with toluene 10 ml. Combined toluene fractions were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated in vacuo to dryness. The crude product was washed with hexane to yield **3**: 0.5 g (63%). Mp: 224–226 °C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>60</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (MW 954.47): C, 55.37; H, 6.34; Found: C, 55.56; H, 6.54%. Positive-ion MS: m/z 995  $[M+K]^+$ ; m/z 979  $[M+Na]^+$ , 100%; m/z 479  $[LSnPhSH]^+$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.03 and 1.05 (18H, s,  $(CH_3)_3CO$ ), 4.75 and 4.85 (4H, s, OCH2), 7.23-7.37 (6H, m, Ar-H3,4,5L and Ar-H3,4,5-Ph), 7.67 and 8.00 (2H, d, Ar-H2,6-Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 27.5 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 65.3 and 65.5 (s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 75.6 and 75.7 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 126.4 and 126.6 (s, Ar-C3.5-L), 128.0 and 128.2 (s, Ar-C3.5-Ph), 128.9 and 129.1 (s, Ar-C4-L), 129.2 overlap of two signals (s(br), Ar-C4-Ph), 135.9 and 136.1 (s, Ar-C2,6-Ph), 137.6 and 137.6 (s, Ar-C1-L), 147.4 and 147.5 (s, Ar-C2,6-L), 148.4 and 148.5 (s, Ar-C1-Ph). <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -74.6 (<sup>2</sup>J(<sup>119</sup>Sn, <sup>117</sup>Sn) = 226 Hz), -79.5 $(^{2}J(^{119}Sn, ^{117}Sn) = 226$  Hz).

# 2.4. Synthesis of $[2,6-(tBuOCH_2)_2C_6H_3SnPh_2]_2S(4)$

 $Na_2S \cdot 9H_2O$  (0.45 g, 1.9 mmol) in 30 ml of water was added to a solution of 2,6-(*t*BuOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>SnPh<sub>2</sub>Cl (2.08 g, 3.73 mmol) in toluene (30 ml) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Then the toluene fraction was separated and water layer was washed twice with toluene 10 ml. Combined toluene fractions were dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ and evaporated *in vacuo* to dryness. The white material was extracted with hexane  $(2 \times 50 \text{ ml})$  and evaporating of the solvent vielded 4: 1.1 g (54%). Mp: 128-131 °C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>70</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S (MW 1076.62): C, 62.48; H, 6.55. Found: C, 62.71; H, 6.62%. Positive-ion MS: m/z 1117 [M+K]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 1101 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 523 [LSnPh<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 467  $[LSnPh_2-butene]^+$ ; m/z 411  $[LSnPh_2-2^*butene]^+$ , 100%; m/zz 351  $[LSnPh_2-2*butene-2*HCOH]^+$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.88 (18H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 4.36 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.18-7.49 (13H, m, Ar–H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 27.8 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 66.6 (s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 73.8 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 126.6 (s, Ar-C3,5-L), 128.5 (s, Ar-C3,5-Ph), 128.9 (s, Ar-C4-Ph), 129.8 (s, Ar-C4-L), 136.5 (s, Ar-C2,6-Ph), 143.4 (s, Ar-C1-Ph), 147.7 (s, Ar-C2,6-L), (Ar-C1-L) not detected. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR  $(CDCl_3): -84.4.$ 

### 2.5. Synthesis of 2,6- $(tBuOCH_2)_2C_6H_3SnPhI_2$ (5)

I<sub>2</sub> (115 mg, 0.46 mmol) was added to a solution of **3** (216 mg, 0.23 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 ml). The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h and then evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was extracted with hexane (2 × 50 ml) and evaporation of the solvent gave **5** as pale yellow powder. Yield: 210 mg (66%). Mp: 122–125 °C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>30</sub>SnO<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (MW 698.98): C, 37.80; H, 4.33. Found: C, 38.12; H, 4.56%. Positive-ion MS: m/z 573 [M–I]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 517 [M–I–butene]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 461 [M–I–2\*butene]<sup>+</sup>, 100%. Negative-ion MS: m/z 127 [I]<sup>-</sup>, 100%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.07 (18H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 4.73 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.33 (2H, d, Ar–H3,5–L), 7.41 (4H, m, Ar–H4-L and H3,4,5–Ph), 7.75

(2H, d, Ar–H2,6–Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 27.8 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 64.9 (s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 76.9 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 127.2 (s, Ar–C3,5–L), 128.6 (s, Ar–C3,5–Ph), 130.6 (s, Ar–C4-Ph), 130.8 (s, Ar–C4-L), 134.5 (s, Ar–C2, 6–Ph), 141.7 (s, Ar–C1-Ph), 147.2 (s, Ar–C2,6–L), (Ar–C1-L) not detected. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -391.8.

# 2.6. Synthesis of 2,6- $(tBuOCH_2)_2C_6H_3SnPh_2I$ (6)

 $I_2$  (31 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added to a solution of 4 (132 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 ml). The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h and then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was extracted with hexane  $(2 \times 50 \text{ ml})$  and evaporation of the solvent gave 6 as pale yellow powder. Yield: 100 mg (63%). Mp: 130-133 °C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>35</sub>SnO<sub>2</sub>I (MW 649.18): C, 51.81; H, 5.43 Found: C, 52.09; H, 5.65%. Positive-ion MS: m/z 523  $[M - I]^+$ ,  $100\%; m/z 467 [M-I-butene]^+; m/z 411 [M-I-2*butene]^+.$ Negative-ion MS: m/z 127 [I]<sup>-</sup>, 100%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.94 (18H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 4.58 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.36–7.42 (9H, m, Ar-H3,4,5-L and Ar-H3,4,5-Ph), 7.77 (4H, d, Ar-H2,6-Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 27.9 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 66.7 (s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 75.4 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 126.9 (s, Ar-C3,5-L), 128.7 (s, Ar-C3,5-Ph), 129.6 (s, Ar-C4-Ph), 130.3 (s, Ar-C4-L), 136.0 (s, Ar-C2, 6-Ph), 142.6 (s, Ar-C1-Ph), 147.9 (s, Ar-C2,6-L), (Ar-C1-L) not detected. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -182.9.

# 2.7. NMR tube experiment – reaction of 6 with $I_2$ in 1:1 molar ratio

I<sub>2</sub> (40 mg, 0.16 mmol) was put into a NMR tube under argon atmosphere and then CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution of **6** 103 mg (0.16 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was immediately studied by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy and ESI mass spectrometry (see Section 3).

2.7.1. NMR data for  $[2,6-(tBuOCH_2)_2C_6H_3SnPh_2]^+I_3^-$ (7)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.25 (18H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 5.07 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.40–7.69 (9H, m, Ar–H3,4,5–L and Ar–H3,4,5–Ph), 7.82 (4H, d, Ar–H2,6–Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 28.5 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 65.5 (s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 85.0 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO), 124.9

(s, Ar–C3,5–L), 130.7 (s, Ar–C3,5–Ph), 132.6 (s, Ar–C4–Ph), 134.8 (s, Ar–C4–L), 136.6 (s, Ar–C2,6–Ph), 140.2 (s, Ar–C1–Ph), 143.9 (s, Ar–C2,6–L), (Ar–C1-L) not detected. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): –20.7. Positive-ion MS: m/z 523 [LSnPh<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100%; m/z 467 [LSnPh<sub>2</sub>–butene]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 411 [LSnPh<sub>2</sub>-2\*butene]<sup>+</sup>. Negative-ion MS: m/z 381 [I<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; m/z 127 [I]<sup>-</sup>, 100%.

# 2.7.2. *NMR* data of the mixture of $2,6-(tBuOCH_2)_2C_6H_3I$ (8) and Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub> after complete decomposition of 7

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.33 (18H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO – **8**), 4.47 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub> – **8**), 7.44 (1H, t, Ar–H4 – **8**) 7.47–7.52 (8H, m, Ar–H3,5 – **8** and Ar–H3,4,5–Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>), 7.67 (4H, d, Ar–H2,6–Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 27.9 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO – **8**), 69.2 (s, OCH<sub>2</sub> – **8**), 74.0 (s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO – **8**), 100.9 (s, Ar–C1 – **8**), 127.5 (s, Ar–C3,5 – **8**), 130.7 (s, Ar–C3,5–Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>), 132.6 (s, Ar–C4–Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>), 134.8 (s, Ar–C4 – **8**), 136.6 (s, Ar–C2,6–Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>), 140.2 (s, Ar–C1–Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>), 143.9 (s, Ar–C2,6 – **8**). <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): –242.2, Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>. Positive-ion MS: m/z 415 [**8** + K]<sup>+</sup>; m/z 399 [**8** + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 100%. Negative-ion MS: m/z 127 [I]<sup>-</sup>, 100%.

### 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Organotin sulphides

The reaction of LSnPhCl<sub>2</sub> (1) (L = 2,6-(*t*BuOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) [19] with 1 equiv of Na<sub>2</sub>S · 9H<sub>2</sub>O in toluene/water gives the expected diorganotin sulphide (LSnPhS)<sub>2</sub> (3) in good yield (Scheme 1A). The attempt to prepare (LSnPhCl)<sub>2</sub>S by the reaction of 1 with Na<sub>2</sub>S · 9H<sub>2</sub>O in 1:0.5 ratio yielded only a mixture of 3 and starting 1 as shown by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 1B). The addition of the next 0.5 equiv of Na<sub>2</sub>S · 9H<sub>2</sub>O to this mixture led to a smooth formation of 3.

The dimeric nature (LSnPhS)<sub>2</sub> of **3** was proven by ESI mass spectra, where the molecular adduct with sodium ion  $[M+Na]^+$  at m/z 979 as the base peak accompanied by molecular adduct with potassium ion  $[M+K]^+$  at m/z 995 were detected. The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra of **3** revealed two signals of very similar chemical shifts at -74.6 and -79.5 ppm and both are accompanied by satellites coming from coupling with adjacent <sup>117</sup>Sn tin nucleus <sup>2</sup> $J(^{119}Sn,$ 



Scheme 1. Preparation of compound 3.

 $^{117}$ Sn) = 226 Hz. Analogously <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra contained two sets of signals approximately in 1:1 integral ratio in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature. The presence of two sets of signals in <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra of diorganotin sulphides containing phenyl and chelating ligand was attributed to the formation of two possible isomers – *cis/trans* in respect to the central Sn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> ring [11b] and analogous isomers were also obtained also with simple aryl ligands on the central tin atom [5a]. These findings prove the presence of both *cis* and *trans* isomers in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution of **3** (Fig. 1).

The structure of one of these isomers *trans*-**3** (single crystals were obtained from solution containing both *cis/trans* isomers) in the solid state was established by X-ray diffraction study (Fig. 2, Table 1). The molecular structure of *trans*-**3** is formed as a centrosymmetric dimer with planar  $Sn_2S_2$  core. The bond distances between the tin atoms and sulphur atoms within this ring are nearly identical Sn1-S2 2.429(3) and Sn1-S2a 2.424(2) Å as well as bonding angles Sn1-S2-Sn1a 88.91(9)° and S2-Sn1-S2a 91.09(8)°. On the other hand, Sn-S bond distances within central  $Sn_2S_2$  ring in organotinsulphide containing another O,C,O chelating



Fig. 1. Possible isomers cis(trans) of compound 3.



Fig. 2. ORTEP drawing (50% probability atomic displacement ellipsoids) of *trans*-**3**. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity (symmetry code a: -x, -y, -z). Selected bond distance (Å): S2–Sn1 2.429(3), S2a–Sn1 2.424(2), O3–Sn1 2.927(7), O4–Sn1 2.993(5), Sn1–C8 2.138(7), Sn1–C21 2.122(6). Selected bonding angles (°): S2–Sn1–S2a 91.09(8), Sn1–S2–Sn1a 88.91(9), O3–Sn1–O4 115.83(16), C8–Sn1–C21 114.8(3), C8–Sn1–S2a 113.2(2), C8–Sn1–S2 113.8(2), C21–Sn1–S2a 111.4(3), C21–Sn1–S2 110.3(2).

ligand  $[2,6-[(EtO)_2(O)P]_2-4-tBuC_2H_6Sn(S)Cl]_2$  are a bit different (2.533 vs. 2.357 Å) maybe as a consequence of stronger Sn-O intramolecular interactions in this compound [12]. Similar non-symmetric  $Sn_2S_2$  rings were also obtained with other chelating ligands [11,20]. Both O,C,O chelating ligands are placed mutually *trans* in respect to the central  $Sn_2S_2$  core in 3. The polyhedron of the central tin atom can be described as a distorted bi-capped tetrahedron, since both oxygen donor atoms are, although very weakly, coordinated to the tin atom (O3-Sn1 2.927(7), O4-Sn1 2.993(5) Å) in *cis* position (O3–Sn1–O4 115.83(16)°). Both intramolecular Sn–O interactions in 3 are comparable to those found in parent dichloride 1 (O-Sn 2.775(1) and 2.882(1) Å) [19] but considerably weaker than values in organotin cations stabilized by the same O,C,O - coordinating pincer-type ligand (range of Sn-O distances 2.270(2)-2.394(3) Å), where both oxygen donor atoms are coordinated in trans fashion (range of O-Sn-O angles 148.90(6)-150.67(5)°) [21]. Similarly, stronger intramolecular Sn–O interactions were found in organotin compounds containing another O, C, O – coordinating pincer-type ligand  $(2,6-[(EtO)_2(O)P]_2-4-tBuC_6H_2^{-})$  [12,22].

The reaction of LSnPh<sub>2</sub>Cl (2) [19] with 0.5 equiv of Na<sub>2</sub>S  $\cdot$  9H<sub>2</sub>O in toluene/water gives desired triorganotin sulphide (LSnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S (4) in moderate yield (Scheme 2). In the positive-ion ESI mass spectra [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z* 1101) and [M+K]<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z* 1117) adducts of molecule proved the compostion of 4 (see Section 2). The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum of 4 revealed signal at -84.4 ppm suggesting (pseudo)tetrahedral environment at the tin atom and is comparable to that found for Ph<sub>3</sub>SnSSnPh<sub>3</sub> (-54 ppm) [10b]. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 4 also contain only one set of signals that is in accordance with non-existence of any other isomer in solution of 4.

### 3.2. Reaction of organotin sulphides with $I_2$

The attempt to form organotin iodide (LSnIS)<sub>2</sub> by a phenyl abstraction by the reaction of compound **3** and two equivalents of I<sub>2</sub> failed. Interestingly, elimination of sulphur was observed and the product was characterized as diorganotin compound LSnPhI<sub>2</sub> (**5**) (Scheme 3). Similar reaction was discovered by Wuest and co-workers, when the reaction of hexaphenyldistannathiane with iodine afforded Ph<sub>3</sub>SnI [23]. The value  $\delta(^{119}Sn) = -391.8$  ppm of **5** is shifted upfield when compared to Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub> -242.2 ppm indicating the presence of an intramolecular Sn–O interaction. However, the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **5** contain only one set of signals in the whole studied temperature range (300–215 K) indicating fast dynamic dissociation-association process of both ligands' arms [24].

Single crystals of **5** were obtained by crystallization from saturated CHCl<sub>3</sub>/hexane solution (Table 1) and the molecular structure of compound **5** is depicted in Fig. 3. Both donor oxygen atoms in **5** are coordinated to the central tin atom only very weakly with bond distances O1–Sn1 2.843(3) and O2–Sn1 2.789(3) Å, similarly to compound

Table 1		
Crystal data and	structure refinement of 3, 5 and 6	)

	3	5	6
Empirical formula	$C_{44}H_{60}O_4S_2Sn_2$	$C_{22}H_{30}O_2SnI_2 \cdot CHCl_3$	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>35</sub> IO <sub>2</sub> Sn
Color	Colourless	White	Yellowish
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/cn$
a (Å)	14.7660(8)	16.749(3)	12.0610(13)
b (Å)	9.9300(10)	9.6214(19)	11.4860(6)
C(Å)	19.5960(14)	19.163(4)	19.783(3)
$\beta$ (°)	129.801(7)	110.73(3)	98.638(10)
Z	2	4	4
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	1.265	3.317	2.095
$D_{x} ({\rm Mg  m^{-3}})$	1.436	1.882	1.585
Crystal size (mm)	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.15$	$0.2 \times 0.07 \times 0.05$	$0.43 \times 0.31 \times 0.25$
Crystal shape	Plate	Needle	Plate
$\theta$ range (deg)	1-27.5	1-27.5	1-27.5
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.714, 0.815 <sup>a</sup>	0.654, 1.000 <sup>b</sup>	$0.418, 0.623^{b}$
No. of reflections measured	19892	18198	18061
No. of unique reflections; $R_{int}$	4978, 0.045	6028, 0.052	5891, 0.036
No. of observed ref. $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	2899	4880	3440
No. of parameters	236	286	289
S <sup>c</sup> all data	1.173	1.065	1.293
Final $R^c$ indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.056	0.038	0.058
$wR2^{c}$ indices (all data)	0.141	0.098	0.165
$\Delta \rho$ , maximum, minimum, [e Å <sup>-3</sup> ]	1.064, -1.597	1.414, -1.242	1.213, -2.149

<sup>a</sup> Correction by integration.

<sup>b</sup> sortav program.

<sup>c</sup> Definitions:  $R(F) = \Sigma ||F_o| - ||F_c||/\Sigma ||F_o|, wR_2 = [\Sigma(w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2)/\Sigma(w(F_o^2)^2)^{1/2}, S = [\Sigma(w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2)/(N_{\text{refins}} - N_{\text{params}})]^{1/2}.$ 

**3**. The coordination polyhedron of the tin atom in **5** can be described as a bi-capped tetrahedron formed by C1, C17, I1 and I2 atoms, that is *cis* attacked by two oxygen donor atoms O1 and O2 (angle O1–Sn1–O2 120.17(9)°). The main deviations from the ideal tetrahedral environment can be seen in angles I1–Sn1–I2 88.81(3)° and C1–Sn1–C17

 $121.23(19)^\circ$  as a consequence of present weak intramolecular Sn–O interactions.

Similarly, the treatment of triorganotin sulphide 4 with 1 equiv of  $I_2$  yielded organotin iodide LSnPh<sub>2</sub>I (6) instead of phenyl abstraction (Scheme 3). Nevertheless, this reaction provides an alternative path to compound 6, which



Scheme 2. Preparation of compound 4.



Scheme 3. Reactions of organotinsulphides 3 and 4 with  $I_2$ .



Fig. 3. ORTEP drawing (50% probability atomic displacement ellipsoids) of **5**. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distance (Å): O1–Sn1 2.843(3), O2–Sn1 2.789(3), Sn1–C1 2.137(4), Sn1–C17 2.117(5), Sn1–I1 2.7664(7), Sn1–I2 2.7693(7). Selected bonding angles (°): O1–Sn1–O2 120.17(9), C1–Sn1–C17 121.23(19), C1–Sn1–I1 115.01(11), C1–Sn1–I2 108.85(13), C17–Sn1–I1 107.36(15), C17–Sn1–I2 110.87(14), I1–Sn1–I2 88.81(3).

was shown to be inaccessible by the iodolysis of LSnPh<sub>3</sub> previously [21a]. Derivative 6 was characterized by ESI-MS spectra. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. The value  $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn}) = -182.9 \text{ ppm of } \mathbf{6} \text{ is well comparable to the value}$ -204.7 ppm of the less sterically demanding analogue 2.6-(MeOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>SnPh<sub>2</sub>I [21a].

The molecular structure of  $\mathbf{6}$  was determined by the help of X-ray diffraction measurements (Fig. 4, Table 1). Only one of the oxygen donor atoms O4 is coordinated to the central tin atom through medium strong intramolecular interaction O4–Sn1 2.696(6) Å (this value indicates stronger intramolecular interaction, than that found in diorganotin iodide 5). The second oxygen atom O3 interacts with the tin atom only insignificantly O3-Sn1 3.200(6) Å. The resulting coordination polyhedron of Sn1 can be described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid with ligand and phenyls ipso carbon atoms C5, C6 and C24 in the equatorial plane ( $\Sigma$  of angles in SnC<sub>3</sub> girdle 349°). The axial positions are occupied by donor oxygen atom O4 and iodine I1 (O3-Sn1-I1 169.13(13)°). Similar coordination polyhedron has been recently found in triorganotin carboxylate 2,6-(MeOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>SnPh<sub>2</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>CCF<sub>3</sub>) [21a].

A crucial step of the preparation of 6 is to rigorously follow 1:1 stoichiometric ratio of 4 and I<sub>2</sub>, otherwise product 6 is accompanied by an impurity detected by the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy (the signal at -242.2 ppm in the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR and with  $\delta({}^{1}\text{H}, CH_{2}\text{O}) = 4.47$  ppm). The explanation is that compound  $\mathbf{6}$  is able to react with addi-

C23

03

C31

C17

C8

C38



of 6. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distance (Å): O3-Sn1 3.200(6), O4-Sn1 2.696(6), Sn1-C5 2.143(4), Sn1-C6 2.152(8), Sn1-C24 2.119(8), Sn1-I1 2.7857(8). Selected bonding angles (°): I1-Sn1-O4 169.13(13), C5-Sn1-C6 112.8(3), C6-Sn1-C24 119.4(3), C5-Sn1-C24 116.3(3).

tional equiv of  $I_2$ . So the treatment of **6** with  $I_2$  in a NMR tube (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) led to a smooth (within 30 min) and clean formation of ionic organotin derivative  $[LSnPh_2]^+I_3^-$  (7). The presence of organotin cation was clearly established by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, where the signal of the CH<sub>2</sub>O group is significantly shifted to lower field (5.07 ppm) and also <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum revealed only one signal at -20.7 ppm, which is a value typical for triorganotin cations containing O,C,O – coordinating pincer-type ligand [21]. Compound 7 is then unstable in  $CDCl_3$  solution and is slowly transformed to new products ( $\delta({}^{1}H)$ ,  $CH_2O$  = 4.47 ppm and  $\delta$ (<sup>119</sup>Sn) = -242.2 ppm) (Fig. 5). The plausible explanation is formation of organotin compound  $Ph_2SnI_2$  along with 2,6-(*tBuOCH*<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>I (8) (Scheme 4).

There are several pieces of evidence for this statement: (i)  $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn}) = -242.2 \text{ ppm}$  really corresponds to Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub> [25] (ii) there are no visible  ${}^{n}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}, {}^{13}\text{C})$  couplings to aromatic carbons of the ligand L in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, although  ${}^{n}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}, {}^{13}\text{C})$  are obtained for phenyls of Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub> ( ${}^{2}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}, {}^{13}\text{C}(2,6)) = 61.7, {}^{3}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}, {}^{13}\text{C}(3,5)) = 76.3,$  ${}^{4}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}, {}^{13}\text{C}(4)) = 16.6 \text{ Hz})$  (iii) the signal which is appropriate to C-ipso of the ligand in compound 8 is significantly shifted to higher field  $\delta(^{13}C) = 100.9$  ppm as a consequence of the presence of the C-I bond, also other aromatic iodides displayed  $\delta(^{13}C)$  of C–I carbon close to this value (for example. PhI 94.3 ppm,  $2-(CH_3)C_6H_4I$  101.8 ppm, 2- $(CH_2Cl)C_6H_4I$  99.4 ppm, etc. [26]) (iv) the presence of 8 was unambiguously confirmed by ESI mass spectra of this mixture, where signals at m/z 399 (m/z 415) correspond to  $[\mathbf{8}+\mathrm{Na}]^+([\mathbf{8}+\mathrm{K}]^+)(\mathrm{v})$  finally <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>119</sup>Sn HMBC NMR spectra revealed no cross-peaks between the tin signal at -242 ppm and the region of OCH<sub>2</sub> groups of ligand L in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, but this cross peak was obtained in parent iodide 6. This means that organotin cation incorporated in compound 7 undergoes an unusual attack of  $I_3^-$ , which leads to a disruption of a commonly very strong pincer ligand-metal



Fig. 5. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 K) showing formation of 7 and its decomposition to Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub>.



Scheme 4. Formation and decomposition of ionic compound 7.

bond [27] and formation of  $Ph_2SnI_2$ . This reaction path represents novel chemical behaviour of the O,C,O – coordinating pincer-type ligand in organotin(IV) chemistry.

### 4. Conclusions

To summarize, two novel organotin sulphides 3 and 4 were prepared and structurally characterized. Their reaction with iodine proceeded to corresponding organotin iodides 5 and 6 instead of the intended phenyl abstraction. Moreover triorganotin compound 6 is able to react with additional eq.  $I_2$  to give ionic triorganotin compound [LSnPh<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>  $I_3^-$  7 that is unstable in solution and decomposes to Ph<sub>2</sub>SnI<sub>2</sub> and the organic compound 2,6-(*t*BuOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>I 8.

### 5. Supplementary material

CCDC 635831, 635687 and 635832 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for **3**, **5** and **6**. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223 336 033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (Grant no. 203/07/0468) and the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic (VZ 0021627501) for financial support. R. Jirásko acknowledges the support of grant project MSM0021627502 sponsored by The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

#### References

- [1] (a) H.J. Jacobsen, B. Krebs, J. Organomet. Chem. 136 (1977) 333;
   (b) B.M. Schmidt, M. Dräger, J. Organomet. Chem. 399 (1990) 63.
- [2] H. Puff, A. Bongartz, R. Sievers, R. Zimmer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 17 (1978) 939.
- [3] H. Puff, G. Bertram, B. Ebeling, M. Franken, R. Gattermayer, R. Hundt, W. Schuh, R. Zimmer, J. Organomet. Chem. 379 (1989) 235.
- [4] P. Brown, M.F. Mahon, K.C. Molloy, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1989) 1621.
- [5] (a) Y. Matsuhashi, N. Tokitoh, R. Okazaki, Organometallics 12 (1993) 2573;

(b) N. Tokitoh, H. Suzuki, T. Matsumoto, Y. Matsuhashi, R. Okazaki, M. Goto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 7047;

(c) N. Tokitoh, Y. Matsuhashi, R. Okazaki, Tetrahedron Lett. 32 (1991) 6151;

(d) Y. Matsuhashi, N. Tokitoh, R. Okazaki, M. Goto, S. Nagase, Organometallics 12 (1993) 1351;

(e) N. Tokitoh, M. Saito, R. Okazaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 2065;

(f) M. Saito, N. Tokitoh, R. Okazaki, Organometallics 15 (1996) 4531.

- [6] D. Dakternieks, K. Jurkschat, H. Wu, E.R.T. Tiekink, Organometallics 12 (1993) 2788.
- [7] D. Dakternieks, K. Jurkschat, D. Schollmeyer, H. Wu, J. Organomet. Chem. 492 (1995) 145.
- [8] J. Beckmann, D. Dakternieks, A. Duthie, C. Jones, K. Jurkschat, E.R.T. Tiekink, J. Organomet. Chem. 636 (2001) 138.
- [9] (a) K. Wraage, T. Pape, R. Herbst-Irmer, M. Noltemeyer, H.G. Schmidt, H.W. Roesky, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (1999) 869;
  (b) H. Berwe, A. Haas, Chem. Ber. 120 (1987) 1175;
  (c) R.M. Harker, M.F. Mahon, K.C. Molloy, Main Group Met. Chem. 19 (1996) 29;
  (d) C. Wagner, C. Raschke, K. Merzweiler, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 18 (2004) 147.
- [10] (a) For example see: R.J. Batchelor, F.W.B. Einstein, C.H.W. Jones, R.D. Sharma, Inorg. Chem. 27 (1988) 4636;
  (b) S. Kerschl, B. Wrackmeyer, D. Männig, H. Nöth, R. Staudigl, Z. Naturforschung 42b (1987) 387;
  (c) C. Glidewell, D.C. Liles, J. Organomet. Chem. 224 (1981) 237.
- [11] (a) B.M. Schmidt, M. Dräger, K. Jurkschat, J. Organomet. Chem. 410 (1991) 43;
  (b) K. Jurkschat, S. van Dreumel, G. Dyson, D. Dakternieks, T.J. Bastow, M.E. Smith, M. Dräger, Polyhedron 11 (1992) 2747;
  (c) O.S. Jung, J.H. Jeong, Y.S. Sohn, Polyhedron 8 (1989) 2557;
  (d) A. Tzschach, K. Jurkschat, Pure Appl. Chem. 58 (1986) 639;

(e) D. Schollmeyer, J. Kalbitz, H. Hartung, A. Tzschach, K. Jurkschat, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 97 (1988) 1075.

- [12] M. Mehring, Ch. Löw, M. Schürmann, F. Uhlig, K. Jurkschat, B. Mahieu, Organometallics 19 (2000) 4613.
- [13] (a) M. Herberhold, U. Steffl, W. Milius, B. Wrackmeyer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 624 (1998) 386;
  (b) L.F. Tang, J.F. Chai, Z.H. Wang, W.L. Jia, J.T. Wang, Organometallics 21 (2002) 3675;
  (c) L.F. Tang, J.F. Chai, S.B. Zhao, J.T. Wang, J. Organomet. Chem. 669 (2003) 57.
- [14] R.H. Blessing, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 30 (1997) 421.
- [15] P. Coppens, in: F.R. Ahmed, S.R. Hall, C.P. Huber (Eds.), Crystallographic Computing, Munksgaard, Copenhagen, 1970, pp. 255–270.
- [16] Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, Methods Enzymol. 276 (1997) 307.
- [17] A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 26 (1993) 343.
- [18] G.M. Sheldrick, sheLxL-97, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, 1997.
- [19] R. Jambor, L. Dostál, A. Růžička, I. Císařová, J. Brus, J. Holeček, Organometallics 21 (2002) 3996.
- [20] D. Dakternieks, R. Tozer, K. Jurkschat, E.R.T. Tiekink, Z. Kristallogr. 211 (1996) 857.
- [21] (a) B. Kašná, R. Jambor, L. Dostál, A. Růžička, I. Císařová, J. Holeček, Organometallics 23 (2004) 5300;

(b) B. Kašná, R. Jambor, L. Dostál, I. Císařová, J. Holeček, B. Štíbr, Organometallics 25 (2006) 5139.

[22] (a) J. Fischer, M. Schürmann, M. Mehring, U. Zachwieja, K. Jurkschat, Organometallics 25 (2006) 2886;

(b) K. Peveling, M. Henn, Ch. Löw, M. Mehring, M. Schürmann, B. Costisella, K. Jurkschat, Organometallics 23 (2004) 1501;

(c) M. Mehring, I. Vrasidas, D. Horn, M. Schürmann, K. Jurkschat, Organometallics 20 (2001) 4647;

- (d) M. Mehring, M. Schürmann, K. Jurkschat, Organometallics 17 (1998) 1227.
- [23] M. Gallant, M. Kobayashi, S. Latour, J.D. Wuest, Organometallics 7 (1988) 736.
- [24] H. Handwerker, C. Leis, R. Probst, P. Bassinger, A. Grohmann, P. Kiprof, F. Herdtweek, J. Blümel, N. Auner, C. Zybill, Organometallics 12 (1993) 2162.
- [25] J. Holeček, A. Lyčka, K. Handlíř, M. Nádvorník, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 55 (1990) 1193.
- [26] C.J. Pouchart, J. Behnke, Aldrich library of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H FT-NMR, Aldrich Chem. Company, Milwaukee, USA, 2005.
- [27] M. Albrecht, G. van Koten, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 40 (2001) 3750.